

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Magnetism in MnPSe₃: a layered $3d^5$ antiferromagnet with unusually large XY anisotropy

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1999 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 11 3563

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/11/17/314)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.214 The article was downloaded on 15/05/2010 at 11:26

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Magnetism in MnPSe₃: a layered $3d^5$ antiferromagnet with unusually large *XY* anisotropy

P Jeevanandam and S Vasudevan

Department of Inorganic and Physical Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Received 16 November 1998, in final form 9 February 1999

Abstract. The anisotropic magnetic susceptibilities of single crystals of the isostructural layered antiferromagnets, MnPS₃ ($T_N = 78$ K) and MnPSe₃ ($T_N = 74$ K), have been measured as functions of temperature. In both compounds, divalent manganese is present in the high-spin S = 5/2 state. The anisotropies in the susceptibilities of the two are, however, very different; while the susceptibility of MnPS₃ is isotropic, that of MnPSe₃ shows a large XY anisotropy, unusual for a manganese compound. The anisotropic susceptibilities are described by the zero-field spin Hamiltonian: $\mathcal{H} = DS_{iz}^2 - \sum J_{ij}S_i \cdot S_j$ with the quadratic single-ion anisotropy transition and the single-ion zero-field-splitting (ZFS) parameter D were evaluated using the correlated effective-field theory of Lines. For MnPSe₃, J/k = -5.29 K and D/k = 26.6 K, while for isotropic MnPS₃, J/k = -8.1 K. It is suggested that the large value of the ZFS parameter for MnPSe₃ as compared to MnPS₃ could be due to the large ligand spin–orbit contribution of the heavier selenium.

1. Introduction

Among low-dimensional magnetic systems, the insulating layered transition metal chalcogenophosphates, MPX₃ (M = Mn, Fe, Ni and X = S or Se) are unique; they represent one of the few known layered systems in which both the magnetic and the crystallographic lattices are two dimensional (2D) [1, 2]. Unlike most other 2D magnetic systems wherein magnetic layers are separated by diamagnetic layers, in the metal chalcogenophosphates the magnetic MPX₃ layers are separated by a van der Waals gap. The presence of the gap rules out superexchange pathways and, since the interlayer metal–metal distance is of the order of ~6.5 Å, direct exchange, too, would be negligible; the chalcogenophosphates are hence nearly perfect 2D magnetic systems.

Anisotropic magnetic susceptibilities of MPS₃, M = Mn, Fe and Ni, have been reported [3]. All three are insulating antiferromagnets with the magnetic lattice being the 2D honeycomb. A remarkable feature of the magnetism in these compounds is that, although they are isostructural, the spin dimensionalities of the three are different. While MnPS₃ is best described by the isotropic Heisenberg Hamiltonian, FePS₃ is most effectively treated by the Ising model and NiPS₃ by the anisotropic or XY Heisenberg Hamiltonian [3]. In the transition metal chalcogenophosphates, metal–ligand interactions are ionic [4] and the d-electron manifold is adequately described by ligand-field theory [5]. The magnetic anisotropy was shown to be a consequence of zero-field splitting (ZFS) of the metal-ion ground state arising from a combination of spin–orbit coupling and the axial crystal field due to a trigonal distortion of the MS₆ octahedra. The effective spin Hamiltonian for such a system is

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{ij} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j + \sum_i DS_{iz}^2 \tag{1}$$

with the ZFS term, DS_{iz}^2 , introducing anisotropy in an otherwise isotropic situation. Depending on the sign and magnitude of D, a preference for the spins to align parallel (Ising) or perpendicular (XY) to the z-axis can be established.

In this paper we report that for the manganese compound, MnPX₃, changing X from S to Se causes a change in the spin dimensionality. Both are S = 5/2 antiferromagnets, with $T_N = 78$ K and 74 K respectively. The in-layer structures of MnPS₃ and MnPSe₃ are identical; they differ only in the layer-stacking sequence [2, 6]. Earlier neutron diffraction studies had shown that the magnetic moments of the two compounds are similar and the orderings in the Néel state identical [6–8]. We have carried out single-crystal magnetic susceptibility measurements on MnPS₃ and MnPSe₃. The paramagnetic susceptibility of MnPS₃ is isotropic; that of MnPSe₃, however, shows a marked XY anisotropy. The results are interesting since the zero-field splitting for the orbital singlet ⁶S ground state of the Mn²⁺ ion is usually small, and consequently the magnetism in manganese compounds is isotropic and the behaviour is well described by the Heisenberg Hamiltonian (D = 0 in equation (1)) [9]. These two isostructural compounds provide a rare example in which changing the ligand causes no change in the magnetic properties other than a change in spin dimensionality.

2. Experimental procedure

MnPS₃ and MnPSe₃ were synthesized under vacuum from the corresponding elements [1, 2]. Single crystals were grown by chemical vapour transport in a two-zone furnace using excess chalcogen or iodine as the transporting agent. For MnPS₃ the temperatures of the two zones were 630 K and 580 K while for MnPSe₃ they were 650 K and 600 K. Large hexagonal platelet crystals were obtained: transparent pale green crystals of MnPS₃ and transparent wine-red crystals of MnPSe₃. Low-temperature optical spectra were recorded on a Hitachi U3400 spectrophotometer. The band-gap energies found from the optical absorption spectra are 2.7 eV for MnPS₃ and 2.4 eV for MnPSe₃.

Magnetic susceptibility measurements were made on a Faraday magnetic balance. Temperatures in the range 30–340 K were obtained using a closed-cycle cryostat (Air Products). The susceptometer was calibrated using Hg[Co(NCS)₄] as a standard. The crystals were suspended from the balance by an arrangement similar to that reported in [10]. The arrangement allowed measurements of the susceptibilities for different orientations of the crystal with respect to the field. The susceptibilities reported are for two directions—the field parallel and perpendicular to the trigonal axis. The trigonal axis in MnPX₃ (X = S, Se), the axis of distortion of the MX₆ polyhedra, is perpendicular to the layers.

3. Results and discussion

The temperature variation of the magnetic susceptibility of MnPS₃ is shown in figure 1. Above 100 K the susceptibility is isotropic while below 100 K it is typical of 3D antiferromagnetic ordering, χ_{\parallel} showing a sharp decrease, with χ_{\perp} remaining essentially constant. The antiferromagnetic axis is thus collinear with the trigonal axis, in agreement with neutron diffraction data [7]. The Néel temperature, defined as the temperature at which the slope of the χ -*T* curve is maximum, is 78 K. The broad maximum of 120 K is due to spin–spin correlation typical of low-dimensional magnetic systems. The exchange parameter, *J*, may be evaluated by fitting



Figure 1. Magnetic susceptibilities of MnPS₃ single crystals parallel (χ_{\parallel}) and perpendicular (χ_{\perp}) to the trigonal axis. The dot–dashed curve is the fit to the HTSE susceptibility expression for J/k = -8.1 K and g = 2.01. The solid curve is the calculated CEF susceptibility for the same values of J/k and g.

the high-temperature series expansion (HTSE) susceptibility of a S = 5/2 2D Heisenberg antiferromagnet [11] to the experimental data [3]. The expression for the HTSE susceptibility is

$$\chi_M = \frac{Ng^2 \beta^2 S(S+1)}{3kT} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^6 (-1)^i b_i (|J|/kT)^i \right)^{-1}.$$
 (2)

The b_i are the expansion coefficients and for a honeycomb lattice may be evaluated using the formulae of Rushbrook and Wood [11]. The dashed curve in figure 1 is the best fit obtained for J/k = -8.1 K and g = 2.01.

The temperature-dependent susceptibility of MnPSe₃, figure 2, shows a marked anisotropy; even at the highest temperature, $\chi_{\perp} > \chi_{\parallel}$ (the susceptibilities are defined with respect to the trigonal axis of the MSe₆ octahedra which is perpendicular to the basal plane). The susceptibility shows a broad maximum at 100 K and an antiferromagnetic Néel temperature of 74 K. In contrast to the case for MnPS₃, it is χ_{\perp} which drops sharply below 100 K, with χ_{\parallel} remaining essentially constant, implying that the antiferromagnetic axis in MnPSe₃ lies in the basal plane, perpendicular to the trigonal axis. The results are in agreement with neutron diffraction data, which showed that while the magnetic ordering is identical for MnPS₃ and MnPSe₃, in the latter the moments lie in the basal plane while in the former they lie along the *c*-axis [6–8].

The anisotropy in the magnetic susceptibility of $MnPSe_3$ originates from the ZFS of the manganese ion arising from the axial trigonal distortion of the $MnSe_6$ octahedra. Neutron diffraction [6], as well as the present susceptibility values, indicates that the divalent manganese



Figure 2. Magnetic susceptibilities of MnPSe₃ single crystals for magnetic fields applied parallel (χ_{\parallel}) and perpendicular (χ_{\perp}) to the trigonal axis. The solid curve is the best fit to the CEF susceptibility expression for J/k = -5.29 K, D/k = 26.6 K, $g_{\parallel} = 2.01$ and $g_{\perp} = 2.45$.



Figure 3. Energy levels of an isolated Mn^{2+} ion (S = 5/2) in a trigonal crystal field for magnetic fields applied parallel (H_z) and perpendicular (H_x) to the trigonal axis. The zero-field splitting parameter is *D*.

ion is present in the high-spin S = 5/2 (⁶S) state. Although the orbital angular momentum for such a state is zero, it has been clearly established that a combination of spin–orbit and

crystal-field effects can couple the ⁶S states to higher-lying multiplets, thereby giving rise to the ZFS [12–15]. For example, while the D₃ symmetry of the MnSe₆ polyhedra leaves the ⁶A_{1g}(⁶S) state unchanged, it lifts the degeneracy of the higher-lying ⁴T₁ state. Inclusion of spin–orbit coupling mixes the quartet and sextet states, since under D₃^{*} they span the same irreducible representations [15]. Such models have indeed been successful in accounting for the ZFS of Mn²⁺ and Fe²⁺ ions doped in ionic crystals [12]. The effective spin Hamiltonian describing magnetism in such systems is equation (1).

Any model used for estimating the ZFS and exchange parameters of MnPSe₃ must be able to account for the complicated energy level structure of the Mn²⁺ ion due to the ZFS (figure 3), while at the same time it must be able to account for spin correlations which in these lowdimensional systems are manifested at temperatures much higher than T_N . In this paper we have used the correlated effective-field (CEF) approximation developed by Lines [16, 17] to analyse the anisotropic susceptibility data for MnPSe₃ and evaluate the ZFS and exchange parameters, D and J. The CEF formalism is ideally suited for systems for which the excited crystal-field energies, exchange energies and thermal energies are all of the same order of magnitude. The model has been successfully used in analysing the high-temperature susceptibility of RbFeBr₃ [18] and RbFeCl₃ [19] as well as that of the transition metal thiophosphates [20, 21].

The CEF approximation is applicable to systems for which the total Hamiltonian may be written as equation (1). The CEF approximation attempts to reduce the many-body problem to a single-body non-interacting-ensemble form by the introduction of static, temperature-dependent spin-correlation parameters, α , which are evaluated by forcing consistency with the fluctuation-dissipation theorem [16]. In this model, the correlated effective field for the *i*th spin, S_i , is obtained by replacing each S_j in equation (1) by the sum of two contributions, one its ensemble average, $\langle S_j \rangle$, and the other a term proportional to the instantaneous deviation of S_i from its own averaged value $\langle S_i \rangle$, i.e.

$$S_{i}^{\lambda} \to \langle S_{i}^{\lambda} \rangle + \alpha^{\lambda} (S_{i}^{\lambda} - \langle S_{i}^{\lambda} \rangle)$$
(3)

where λ , in general, runs over the three cartesian coordinates, *x*, *y* and *z*, but because of the axial symmetry of equation (1), would be either parallel or perpendicular to \vec{z} for the MPX₃ compounds. Corresponding to this replacement, the effective Hamiltonian for the *i*th spin in the high-temperature paramagnetic phase, where ensemble averages are zero, is

$$\mathcal{H}_{i}^{0}(\text{eff}) = DS_{iz}^{2} - \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{j} 2J_{ij}^{\lambda} \alpha^{\lambda} (S_{i}^{\lambda})^{2}.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

The eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of $\mathcal{H}_i^0(\text{eff})$ are readily calculated as functions of the correlation parameter, α^{λ} . The correlated effective-field Hamiltonian, $\mathcal{H}_i(\text{eff})$, may be obtained by considering a static applied field, \vec{H}_0 , in the direction λ . Since the susceptibility is diagonal in the coordinate system, all ensemble averages other than for the direction λ are zero:

$$\mathcal{H}_{i}(\text{eff}) = \mathcal{H}_{i}^{0}(\text{eff}) - g\beta H_{0}S_{i}^{\lambda} - \sum_{j} 2J_{ij}^{\lambda}S_{i}^{\lambda}(\langle S_{j}^{\lambda} \rangle - \alpha^{\lambda}\langle S_{i}^{\lambda} \rangle).$$
(5)

By considering the last two terms as a perturbation, the perturbed eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are obtained using first-order perturbation theory, and the ensemble average $\langle S_i^{\lambda} \rangle_H$ in the presence of the field calculated using Boltzmann statistics (the ensemble average $\langle S_i^{\lambda} \rangle_0$ in the absence of a field is zero in the paramagnetic phase):

$$kT\langle S_i^{\lambda}\rangle_H = g\beta H_0\langle S_i^{\lambda}: S_i^{\lambda}\rangle_0 + \sum_j 2J_{ij}^{\lambda}[\langle S_j^{\lambda}\rangle_H - \alpha^{\lambda}\langle S_i^{\lambda}\rangle_H]\langle S_i^{\lambda}: S_i^{\lambda}\rangle_0.$$
(6)

3568 P Jeevanandam and S Vasudevan

The colon-product ensemble average in the above expression is defined by

$$\langle S_i^{\lambda} : S_i^{\lambda} \rangle_0 = \sum_n \rho_n \left(S_{nn}^{\lambda} S_{nn}^{\lambda} + 2kT \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{S_{nm}^{\lambda} S_{mn}^{\lambda}}{E_m - E_n} \right).$$
(7)

The S_{nm}^{λ} are the matrix elements of the λ th component of the *i*th spin connecting the *n*th and *m*th eigenstates, E_n and E_m are the eigen-energies of the respective levels and ρ_n is the density matrix:

$$\rho_n = \left(e^{-E_{n\alpha}/kT} \right) \bigg/ \bigg(\sum_n e^{-E_{n\alpha}/kT} \bigg).$$
(8)

Using the fact that the zero-field averages $\langle S_i^{\lambda} : S_i^{\lambda} \rangle_0$ are site independent, equation (6) may be Fourier transformed to give

$$kT\langle S(q)^{\lambda}\rangle_{H} = g\beta H_{0}\langle S_{i}^{\lambda} : S_{i}^{\lambda}\rangle_{0} + 2[J(q)^{\lambda} - \alpha^{\lambda}J^{\lambda}(0)]\langle S(q)^{\lambda}\rangle_{H}\langle S_{i}^{\lambda} : S_{i}^{\lambda}\rangle_{0}$$
(9)

where S(q) and J(q) are the Fourier transforms of the corresponding lattice quantities and the momentum q lies in the first Brillouin zone. The susceptibility, defined by $\chi^{\lambda}(q) = N\langle S(q)^{\lambda} \rangle / H_0$, may be written as

$$kT\chi_i(q)^{\lambda} = g_{\lambda}^2 \beta^2 \langle S_i^{\lambda} : S_i^{\lambda} \rangle_0 + U^{\lambda}(q)$$
(10)

where

$$U^{\lambda}(q) = \frac{2[J(q) - \alpha^{\lambda}J(0)]\langle S_i^{\lambda} : S_i^{\lambda}\rangle_0^2}{kT - 2[J(q) - \alpha^{\lambda}J(0)]\langle S_i^{\lambda} : S_i^{\lambda}\rangle_0}.$$
(11)

From the fluctuation theorem, we have $\sum_{q} U(q) = 0$ [16], which allows the complete determination of the correlation parameters, α^{λ} :

$$\alpha^{\lambda} = \left(\sum_{q} J(q) \{ kT - 2[J(q) - \alpha^{\lambda} J(0)] \langle S^{\lambda} : S^{\lambda} \rangle \}^{-1} \right) \\ \times \left(\sum_{q} J(0) \{ kT - 2[J(q) - \alpha^{\lambda} J(0)] \langle S^{\lambda} : S^{\lambda} \rangle \}^{-1} \right)^{-1}.$$
(12)

Once α^{λ} is obtained, the uniform static susceptibility follows directly as

$$\chi_{\text{layer}}^{\lambda} = \frac{N g_{\lambda}^2 \beta^2 \langle S_i^{\lambda} : S_i^{\lambda} \rangle_0}{kT - 2z J(0)(1 - \alpha^{\lambda}) \langle S_i^{\lambda} : S_i^{\lambda} \rangle_0}.$$
(13)

The weak interlayer coupling between the MnPX₃ sheets was included using a mean-field approximation:

$$\chi^{\lambda} = \frac{\chi^{\lambda}_{\text{layer}}}{1 - 2J'\chi^{\lambda}_{\text{layer}}/Ng^{2}_{\lambda}\beta^{2}}$$
(14)

where J' is the interlayer coupling.

The susceptibilities were calculated using equation (12), after evaluation of the α -parameters. The equations for α^{λ} involve a summation over the Brillouin zone. We have used the special-'k'-point scheme of Chadi and Cohen [22] for obtaining the averages over the Brillouin zone. For MnPS₃ and MnPSe₃, in which the Mn²⁺ ions form a honeycomb lattice, the 6k-point set obtained by Cunningham for a 2D hexagonal lattice was used [23]. In these compounds the direction λ is either parallel (||) or perpendicular (\bot) to the trigonal axis.

The colon products $\langle S_i^{\lambda} : S_i^{\lambda} \rangle_0$ in equation (11) for a Mn²⁺ ion (S = 5/2) were evaluated from the eigenvalues and functions of \mathcal{H}_{eff} [17]. They are, for $D' = [D - zJ(\alpha^{\parallel} - \alpha^{\perp})/4kT$,

$$\langle S_i^{\parallel} : S_i^{\parallel} \rangle = \frac{(1/4)[\exp(-D') + 9\exp(-9D') + 25\exp(-25D')]}{\exp(-D') + \exp(-9D') + \exp(-25D')}$$
(15)

$$\langle S_i^{\perp} : S_i^{\perp} \rangle = \frac{\exp(-D')(9D'+2) - (11/8)\exp(-9D') - (5/8)\exp(-25D')}{(4D')[\exp(-D') + \exp(-9D') + \exp(-25D')]}.$$
 (16)

The CEF susceptibility for MnPS₃ was calculated using equation (12) with the values of J and g which gave the best fit for the HTSE and D = 0. The solid curve in figure 1 shows that it compares well with the exact HTSE susceptibility.

The CEF susceptibility expression (equation (12)) was fitted to the experimental data for MnPSe₃ by a non-linear least-squares algorithm. The best fit, shown as a solid curve in figure 2, was obtained for J/k = -5.29 K, D/k = 26.64 K, J'/k = -0.015 K, $g_{\parallel} = 2.01$ and $g_{\perp} = 2.45$. At low temperatures the CEF susceptibilities diverge (177 K for MnPS₃ and 100 K for MnPSe₃), since the denominator in equation (11) goes to zero. This temperature, however, has no thermodynamic significance and should not be compared with experimental T_N -values since magnetic ordering in 2D isotropic and XY anisotropic systems is a consequence of weak interlayer coupling [9].

The large difference between the anisotropies in the magnetic susceptibilities of isostructural MnPS₃ and MnPSe₃ is rather puzzling. In both compounds the Mn 3d electrons are strongly correlated and localized, as reflected in their high spin-state values and the fact that both are insulators with large optical band gaps. These compounds are best viewed as salts of the chalcogenophosphate anion rather than as metal phosphorus sulphides or selenides [4]. In neither compound would the trigonal distortion of the MX₆ octahedra give rise to a ZFS unless the ground state is admixed with a higher-lying state due to spin–orbit coupling. It is the latter parameter, the spin–orbit interaction, which plays a crucial role in deciding the magnitude and the origin of the ZFS in MnPS₃ and MnPSe₃. The spin–orbit interaction for 3d^{*n*} ions in cubic fields involves two parameters, ξ and ξ' [24]. The former acts within the t₂ states and the latter between t₂ and e states. For a free ion, $\xi = \xi' = \xi_{3d}$. The spin–orbit constants may be evaluated using molecular orbital theory where t₂ and e orbitals are expressed as mixtures of the orbitals on the magnetic ion and those on the ligand:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \xi_{3d} + \lambda_{\pi}^2 \xi_L \\ \xi' &= \xi_{3d} - (1/2)\lambda_{\sigma} \lambda_{\pi} \xi_L \end{aligned}$$

In the above expressions, λ_{π} and λ_{σ} denote covalency parameters and ξ_{3d} and ξ_{L} are the spinorbit constants of the 'free'-metal-ion 3d and ligand-ion 2p orbitals [24]. In situations where the metal ions are the same, large differences in spin-orbit constants could arise from changes in covalency and/or changes in the ligand contribution. In the case of MnPS₃ and MnPSe₃, covalency is likely to be stronger for the latter and, equally important, the spin-orbit constant ξ_{L} of Se²⁻ (1463 cm⁻¹) [25] is much larger than that of S²⁻ (382.4 cm⁻¹) [25] ($\xi_{Mn^{2+}} = 347$ cm⁻¹ [15]). As a consequence, even small changes in the covalency of the Mn–X interactions could still lead to a large change in the spin-orbit constants and hence a larger ZFS in MnPSe₃. The role of the ligand spin-orbit contribution has been pointed out by various authors [14, 26]; e.g. the large variation in the ZFS parameter *D* in the EPR spectra of Cr²⁺ (*S* = 2) doped in ZnS, ZnSe and ZnTe has been explained as arising from substantial changes in the ligand spin-orbit contribution on going from S to the heavier Te [26]. MnPS₃ and MnPSe₃, however, are probably the first examples in which changes in the ligand spin-orbit contribution cause a change in the anisotropy of the macroscopic magnetic susceptibility. The large ZFS of the Mn²⁺ ion gives rise to an unusually large *XY* anisotropy in the magnetism of MnPSe₃. 3570 P Jeevanandam and S Vasudevan

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Nirmala Chandrasekharan for useful discussions. PJ thanks CSIR (India) for a research fellowship.

References

- [1] Klingen W, Otto R and Hahn H Z 1973 Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 396 271
- [2] Brec R 1986 Solid State Ion. 22 3
- [3] Joy P A and Vasudevan S 1992 Phys. Rev. B 46 5425
- [4] Joy P A and Vasudevan S 1993 J. Phys. Chem. Solids 54 343
- [5] Joy P A and Vasudevan S 1992 Phys. Rev. B 46 5134
- [6] Wieddenmann A, Rossat-Mignod J, Louisy A, Brec R and Rouxel J 1981 Solid State Commun. 40 1067
- [7] Kurosawa K, Saito S and Yamaguchi Y 1983 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 52 3919
- [8] Wildes A R, Kennedy S J and Hicks T J 1994 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 6 L335
- [9] de Jongh L J and Miedema A R 1974 Adv. Phys. 23 1
- [10] Cruse D A and Gerloch M 1977 J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 152
- [11] Rushbrook G S and Wood P J 1958 Mol. Phys. 1 257
- [12] Yu W-L and Tan T 1993 Phys. Rev. B 49 3243
- [13] Yu M L 1989 Phys. Rev. B 39 622
- [14] Francisco E and Pueyo L 1988 Phys. Rev. B 37 5278
- [15] Griffith J S 1961 The Theory of Transition Metal Ions (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [16] Lines M E 1974 Phys. Rev. B 9 3927
- [17] Lines M E 1976 Phys. Rev. B 12 3766
- [18] Lines M E and Eibschütz M 1975 Phys. Rev. B 11 4583
- [19] Eibschütz M, Lines M E and Sherwood L C 1975 Phys. Rev. B 11 4595
- [20] Chandrasekharan N and Vasudevan S 1994 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 6 4569
- [21] Chandrasekharan N and Vasudevan S 1994 Pramana 43 21
- [22] Chadi D J and Cohen M L 1973 Phys. Rev. B 8 5947
- [23] Cunningham S L 1974 Phys. Rev. B 10 4988
- [24] Sugano S, Tanabe Y and Kamimura H 1970 Multiplets of Transition Metal Ions in Crystals (New York: Academic)
- [25] Values from Barnes R G and Smith W V 1954 Phys. Rev. B 93 95 as quoted in reference [26]
- [26] Vallin J T and Watkins G D 1974 Phys. Rev. B 9 2051